

Reforming Islamic Family Law in Contemporary Muslim Societies

¹ Muhammad dwi Toriyono

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Sayyid Ali Rahmatullah Tulungagung, Indonesia

¹dwi.toriyono@uinsatu.ac.id

*Correspondence Email: dwi.toriyono@uinsatu.ac.id

Abstract: The reform of Islamic family law in contemporary Muslim societies reflects an ongoing negotiation between religious norms, state authority, and changing social realities. This study examines how Islamic family law is reformed through the interaction of religious legitimacy, state intervention, contemporary legal reasoning, and social transformation. Using a qualitative systematic literature review, the study analyzes scholarly literature on Islamic family-law reform across different Muslim societies, with particular attention to religious norms, state regulation, gender relations, family rights, and changing social expectations. The literature was analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and relationships among the major dimensions of reform. The findings indicate that Islamic family-law reform does not follow a uniform or linear trajectory from tradition to modernity. Religious norms continue to provide normative legitimacy, while state institutions institutionalize selected interpretations through legislation, codification, judicial decisions, and administrative mechanisms. At the same time, social changes in gender relations, marriage patterns, individual rights, and family structures generate new demands for legal adaptation. Contemporary *ijtihad* functions as an interpretive mechanism that connects Islamic principles with changing circumstances, while social negotiation influences the legitimacy and sustainability of legal reform. The study develops an analytical framework positioning religious norms, state institutions, social change, contemporary *ijtihad*, and social negotiation as interconnected dimensions of Islamic family-law reform. The study contributes to a more integrated understanding of Islamic family law as a dynamic socio-legal institution shaped by negotiated adaptation between religious continuity and social change.

Keywords: Islamic family law, legal reform, religious norms, social change, contemporary *ijtihad*, legal pluralism.

INTRODUCTION

Islamic family law remains one of the most contested areas of legal development in contemporary Muslim societies because it simultaneously represents religious norms, family relations, state authority, and changing social expectations. Matters such as marriage, divorce, inheritance, guardianship, and maintenance are not merely private concerns but are also regulated through legal institutions that reflect particular interpretations of Islamic jurisprudence. Consequently, reforming Islamic family law involves more than modifying statutory provisions; it requires negotiating the relationship between religious legitimacy, state authority, individual

rights, and changing conceptions of justice. Comparative scholarship shows that Muslim family law has developed through diverse trajectories across Muslim-majority and Muslim-minority jurisdictions, demonstrating that reform is strongly influenced by particular political, historical, and socio-legal contexts (Sezgin, 2023).

The diversity of these trajectories indicates that Islamic family law cannot be understood as a uniform and immutable legal system. Contemporary Muslim societies have employed different mechanisms to respond to changing family relations, including statutory codification, judicial interpretation, administrative regulation, and reinterpretation of Islamic legal principles. Reform therefore does not necessarily imply the replacement of religious norms with secular law. Rather, it may involve reconstructing existing jurisprudential principles so that they remain relevant to contemporary social conditions. Haneef (2011), for example, demonstrates that reform within Malaysian Islamic family law can be pursued through jurisprudential approaches that seek to strengthen women's rights while maintaining an Islamic normative foundation.

Social transformation has further intensified the need to reconsider existing family-law arrangements. Expanding women's educational and economic participation, changing patterns of marriage and divorce, increasing awareness of individual rights, demographic transformations, and broader human-rights discourses have generated new expectations concerning family relationships. At the same time, Islamic norms continue to constitute an important source of moral and legal legitimacy for many Muslim communities. This creates a persistent tension between preserving established interpretations and developing legal arrangements that respond to contemporary realities. The central challenge is therefore not simply whether Islamic family law should be maintained or modernized, but how its normative foundations can be interpreted and institutionalized in ways that remain both religiously legitimate and socially responsive.

Gender relations represent one of the most significant dimensions of this tension. Contemporary reform debates increasingly address women's autonomy, equality within marriage, protection from discrimination, and the distribution of rights and responsibilities between spouses. However, framing these debates as a simple opposition between Islamic norms and women's rights risks overlooking the possibility of reform from within Islamic jurisprudential traditions. Haneef (2011) illustrates how women-affirming approaches can emerge through reinterpretation of

Islamic legal principles rather than through abandoning their religious foundations. This perspective suggests that gender-oriented reform may be understood as an internal negotiation over the interpretation and application of Islamic norms in response to changing social expectations.

The complexity of reform is further demonstrated by the existence of legal pluralism in contemporary Muslim societies. Family relations may be simultaneously influenced by state legislation, Islamic jurisprudence, customary practices, judicial decisions, and community expectations. In Indonesia, for example, family-law issues increasingly develop within interactions between Islamic norms, state regulation, constitutional principles, and plural social realities (Darmawan & Al Mu'allif, 2025). Recent scholarship on interfaith marriage illustrates how Islamic family law is negotiated within a plural legal environment in which religious norms interact with state law and changing patterns of social relations (Ma'u et al., 2025). Alongside legal pluralism, the *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* approach provides an important framework for connecting Islamic legal principles with broader objectives such as justice, welfare, human dignity, and family protection. This perspective opens space for reform without necessarily disconnecting contemporary legislation from Islamic normative traditions.

Nevertheless, existing scholarship remains relatively fragmented across particular countries, legal issues, or dimensions of reform. Some studies primarily examine gender equality, while others focus on marriage, divorce, codification, women's rights, or specific national legal systems. Although these studies provide important insights, they do not always explain how religious legitimacy, state authority, legal interpretation, and social transformation interact as interconnected forces in contemporary Islamic family-law reform. This fragmentation creates a need for a more integrated perspective that understands reform not as a linear movement from traditional to modern law, but as an ongoing process of negotiation between inherited religious norms and changing social realities.

Against this background, this article examines the reform of Islamic family law in contemporary Muslim societies by focusing on the dynamic relationship between religious norms and social change. The article conceptualizes reform as a process of reinterpretation, negotiation, and institutional adaptation through which Muslim societies attempt to maintain religious normative legitimacy while responding to contemporary demands for justice, equality, and social

protection. By bringing religious authority, state intervention, legal interpretation, and social transformation into a single analytical framework, the study seeks to contribute to a broader understanding of Islamic family law as a dynamic socio-legal institution rather than a fixed body of inherited rules.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative systematic literature review to examine the reform of Islamic family law in contemporary Muslim societies, particularly the interaction between religious norms and social change. A qualitative approach was adopted because the study focuses on interpreting legal arguments, religious perspectives, and socio-legal transformations rather than measuring relationships between variables. The review follows a systematic process of identifying, selecting, comparing, and synthesizing relevant scholarly literature to construct an integrated understanding of Islamic family-law reform (Snyder, 2019; Hariani & Jabbar, 2026).

Relevant literature was identified through Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar using combinations of keywords including Islamic family law, Muslim family law reform, religious norms, social change, gender and Islamic law, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, and legal pluralism. Priority was given to peer-reviewed journal articles that directly addressed Islamic family-law reform and its religious, legal, or social dimensions. Studies were included when they provided substantive analysis of family-law reform, legal interpretation, social transformation, or institutional change in Muslim societies. Publications that were unrelated to family law or lacked sufficient scholarly relevance were excluded.

The selected literature was analyzed thematically. Relevant information was extracted regarding the legal issues examined, geographical context, reform mechanisms, actors involved, religious arguments, and forms of social change. The findings were then coded and grouped into recurring themes, including religious norms and legal interpretation, state intervention and codification, gender and family rights, legal pluralism, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, social transformation, and resistance to reform. Cross-study comparison was subsequently conducted to identify converging and diverging patterns across Muslim societies and to synthesize the findings into an

analytical explanation of how Islamic family-law reform develops through interaction between normative continuity and social transformation (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

The credibility of the review was strengthened through systematic source selection, comparison across different studies and geographical contexts, and triangulation of perspectives from Islamic studies, legal scholarship, and socio-legal research. Greater analytical emphasis was placed on peer-reviewed international publications and studies indexed in major academic databases. This process helped minimize dependence on a single national context and enabled the study to distinguish context-specific developments from broader patterns of Islamic family-law reform. The resulting synthesis positions reform as a dynamic process of reinterpretation, negotiation, and institutional adaptation between religious legitimacy and contemporary social realities.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Religious Norms and the Continuity of Islamic Family Law

Islamic family law derives its normative legitimacy from the Qur'an, Sunnah, classical jurisprudence, and Islamic legal reasoning. Marriage, divorce, inheritance, guardianship, and family responsibilities are therefore understood not only as legal matters but also as expressions of religious and ethical values. This foundation explains why family-law reform often raises questions about religious identity and the authority of established interpretations. Nevertheless, the continuity of Islamic family law does not necessarily require the preservation of identical legal rules, since Islamic jurisprudence has historically accommodated diverse interpretations and changing circumstances (Tohari & Kholish, 2020).

The relationship between continuity and reform becomes particularly evident when Islamic family-law principles are incorporated into modern state legal systems. Such institutionalization requires processes of selection, interpretation, codification, and adaptation rather than simply reproducing classical fiqh. In Indonesia, the development of marriage legislation and subsequent judicial reforms illustrates how Islamic family-law norms have been incorporated into a plural national legal framework while retaining their religious foundations (Wirastri & van Huis, 2024).

Thus, continuity operates not only through textual preservation but also through the recognition and institutionalization of Islamic norms within contemporary legal systems.

The capacity of Islamic legal reasoning to respond to new circumstances also contributes to this continuity. The *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* approach provides a framework for connecting established Islamic values with contemporary legal needs by emphasizing objectives such as justice, welfare, family protection, and social order. Tohari and Kholish (2020) argue that *maqāṣid*-based *ijtihad* can provide a conceptual foundation for reforming Indonesian Islamic family law while maintaining its connection with Islamic legal traditions. Reform can therefore be understood as internal legal development rather than a rejection of religious norms.

Comparatively, Islamic family-law reform has produced different outcomes because Muslim societies differ in their legal institutions, political structures, religious authorities, and social conditions. Reforms have occurred in both Muslim-majority and Muslim-minority contexts while continuing to negotiate religious norms with principles of rights, accountability, and the rule of law (Sezgin, 2023). The continuity of Islamic family law should therefore not be interpreted simply as resistance to change. Rather, religious norms remain a reference point through which contemporary Muslim societies reinterpret, codify, and adapt family law in response to changing social realities.

2. State Intervention and the Institutionalization of Family Law Reform

State intervention has become an important mechanism through which Islamic family law is transformed into enforceable legal norms. Through legislation, codification, judicial decisions, and administrative regulations, states select and institutionalize particular interpretations of Islamic law. This process can provide greater legal certainty while simultaneously redefining the relationship between classical fiqh and modern state law. Codification, therefore, is not merely a technical process but also reflects decisions concerning which interpretations of Islamic family law should receive formal legal recognition (Bidayati et al., 2021).

The forms of state intervention vary considerably across Muslim societies because family-law reform is influenced by political institutions, religious authority, legal traditions, and social conditions. Some states have adopted comprehensive family-law codes, whereas others maintain plural systems in which Islamic, customary, and state laws operate simultaneously. The

comparison presented in Table 1 illustrates these differences and demonstrates that the institutionalization of Islamic family law does not follow a single trajectory. Instead, states employ different mechanisms to negotiate religious norms with contemporary legal and social requirements.

Table 1. Forms of State Intervention in Islamic Family-Law Reform

Context	Main Form of Intervention	Institutional Mechanism	Reform Orientation
Indonesia	Codification and judicial development	Marriage legislation, Compilation of Islamic Law, religious courts	Integrating Islamic norms with national law and social needs
Malaysia	State-based codification	Islamic family enactments and Syariah courts	Standardizing Islamic family-law administration
Morocco	Comprehensive statutory reform	Family Code (<i>Mudawwanah</i>)	Reinterpreting family law through justice and gender considerations
Pakistan	Legislative regulation	Muslim Family Laws Ordinance and judicial institutions	Regulating marriage, divorce, and family relations through state law
India	Selective regulation and reform initiatives	Personal-law legislation, courts, and civil-society advocacy	Negotiating religious autonomy, constitutional rights, and gender equality

Source: Compiled from Bidayati et al. (2021), Jones (2020), and related comparative literature.

The comparison in Table 1 indicates that state intervention can operate through different institutional pathways. Indonesia and Malaysia emphasize codification and administrative regulation, while Morocco demonstrates a more comprehensive statutory restructuring of family law. Pakistan illustrates the use of specific legislative instruments to regulate family relations,

whereas India reflects a more complex negotiation involving personal law, constitutional principles, courts, and civil-society actors. These differences show that the state does not simply eliminate religious norms through modernization; rather, it determines how such norms are selected, interpreted, and incorporated into legally binding frameworks.

The institutionalization of Islamic family law consequently represents a continuous negotiation between religious authority and state authority. While state intervention can standardize and reform family-law provisions, its legitimacy depends partly on the extent to which reforms remain socially and religiously acceptable. This makes legal reform an ongoing process rather than a one-time legislative intervention. The interaction between state institutions and social expectations subsequently creates space for further transformation, particularly as changing gender relations, family structures, and individual rights generate new demands for legal protection and equality.

3. Social Change, Gender Relations, and Emerging Family Rights

Social change has become an important driver of Islamic family-law reform as patterns of marriage, gender relations, education, employment, and individual rights continue to develop. Greater participation of women in education and the labour market has transformed expectations concerning marital responsibilities, economic roles, and decision-making within families. At the same time, changing attitudes toward marriage and divorce have challenged legal arrangements based primarily on historically established social structures. Reform therefore increasingly involves efforts to reconcile Islamic normative principles with changing social realities (Welchman, 2007).

Gender relations represent one of the most significant areas in which these transformations become visible. Contemporary debates increasingly address women's legal capacity, marital rights, divorce, maintenance, and protection from unequal treatment. However, the relationship between Islamic family law and gender equality should not necessarily be framed as a direct opposition between religion and modernity. Reform may instead emerge through reinterpretation of Islamic jurisprudence and the reconstruction of legal principles in response to contemporary understandings of justice. Mir-Hosseini (2009) argues that debates over Muslim family laws

increasingly involve questioning the assumption that historically developed interpretations of Sharia necessarily represent immutable religious principles.

Changing social conditions have also expanded the meaning of family rights. Greater awareness of individual dignity, equality, and protection from discrimination has encouraged legal institutions to reconsider how family relationships are regulated. Issues such as unilateral divorce, maintenance, child custody, marital decision-making, and women's economic security have consequently become central to reform debates. These developments demonstrate that social change does not simply replace religious norms but creates new questions concerning how those norms should be interpreted and applied within contemporary family structures (Haneef, 2011).

The interaction between social transformation and religious norms therefore makes Islamic family-law reform a continuing process rather than a single legislative event. Legal systems must negotiate between established religious principles and emerging expectations concerning equality, autonomy, and social protection. This process may produce different forms of reform depending on national institutions, religious authorities, and social conditions. Islamic family law consequently remains religiously grounded while becoming increasingly responsive to changing family practices, creating a dynamic space in which continuity and transformation coexist.

4. Toward a Negotiated Model of Islamic Family Law Reform

The preceding discussion indicates that Islamic family-law reform emerges from the interaction of religious norms, state institutions, and changing social realities rather than from a single source of legal authority. Religious principles provide normative legitimacy, state institutions provide mechanisms for formalization, while social change generates new demands concerning equality, protection, and family rights. Contemporary debates therefore reveal a process of negotiation in which Islamic legal traditions are interpreted in relation to constitutional principles, social expectations, and institutional practices. Miichi (2025), for example, demonstrates how the revision of Indonesia's marriage law emerged through interaction among state institutions, women's rights advocates, and competing religious and political interests.

This negotiated character is also visible beyond Muslim-majority contexts. Research on Muslims living in secular European societies shows that contemporary understandings of marriage

and divorce may combine religious norms with elements of secular law rather than simply choosing one system over another. Khalfaoui (2020) found evidence of a dialogic relationship between Islamic and secular conceptions of family law among Muslims in Germany, suggesting that contemporary Muslim family-law practices can develop through accommodation and negotiation. Similarly, Uddin (2020) demonstrates that British Muslims negotiate Islamic matrimonial norms through lived experiences, religious institutions, and contemporary legal environments, illustrating that family-law reform also occurs through changes in normative practices rather than legislation alone.

Based on the literature reviewed, the relationship among religious norms, state authority, legal reasoning, and social transformation can be synthesized into an analytical framework for understanding Islamic family-law reform, as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Negotiated Model of Islamic Family-Law Reform

Dimension	Function in Reform	Main Process	Result
Religious norms	Establish normative legitimacy	Interpretation and reinterpretation	Continuity of Islamic foundations
State institutions	Establish formal legal authority	Legislation, codification, and adjudication	Legally enforceable reform
Social change	Generate new legal demands	Transformation of family practices and expectations	Pressure for legal adaptation
Contemporary <i>ijtihad</i>	Connect Islamic principles with new circumstances	Contextual legal reasoning	Responsive interpretation
Social negotiation	Build legitimacy and acceptance	Interaction among religious, state, and social actors	Sustainable reform

Source: Author's synthesis based on Khalfaoui (2020), Uddin (2020), Miichi (2025), and the literature reviewed.

The model in Table 2 positions reform as a multidimensional process rather than a linear transition from traditional to modern law. Religious norms remain an important source of

legitimacy, but their contemporary application is shaped by state institutions, changing social practices, and new forms of legal reasoning. The interaction among these dimensions explains why similar family-law issues can produce different reforms across Muslim societies. In this framework, *ijtihad* functions as an interpretive mechanism, while social negotiation determines whether legal transformation can achieve broader legitimacy. This approach is consistent with recent scholarship emphasizing that contemporary Islamic family law develops through dynamic interaction between classical jurisprudence, national legal institutions, and changing social demands.

The negotiated model consequently offers a way to move beyond the conventional opposition between “religious tradition” and “modernity.” Reform does not necessarily require abandoning Islamic normative foundations, nor does religious continuity require preserving every historical legal formulation. Instead, sustainable reform emerges when religious principles, institutional authority, and contemporary social needs are brought into constructive dialogue. Islamic family law can therefore be understood as a dynamic socio-legal institution whose legitimacy depends simultaneously on normative continuity, legal adaptability, and social responsiveness. This conceptualization constitutes the central contribution of the article: reform is best understood as negotiated adaptation between religious norms and social change rather than as the replacement of one normative system by another.

CONCLUSION

The reform of Islamic family law in contemporary Muslim societies is shaped by the continuous interaction between religious norms, state institutions, and social change. The analysis shows that religious norms remain an important source of legitimacy, but their application is not static. Changes in family structures, gender relations, marriage patterns, and social expectations create new legal demands that require reinterpretation within changing social contexts. Consequently, Islamic family-law reform cannot be understood solely as a process of preserving religious traditions or adopting modern legal principles, but rather as a process of negotiating between normative continuity and social transformation.

The findings further indicate that the state plays a significant role in institutionalizing family-law reform through legislation, codification, judicial interpretation, and administrative mechanisms. At the same time, legal reform gains broader relevance when it responds to social realities and emerging concerns regarding gender relations and family rights. Contemporary *ijtihad* therefore becomes important as an interpretive mechanism that connects Islamic legal principles with changing circumstances. The analytical framework developed in this study positions religious norms, state institutions, social change, contemporary *ijtihad*, and social negotiation as interconnected dimensions of family-law reform rather than as separate or competing forces.

This study contributes to the understanding of Islamic family-law reform by emphasizing a negotiated approach that moves beyond the conventional opposition between religious tradition and modernity. Sustainable reform emerges when Islamic principles maintain normative legitimacy while legal institutions provide formal authority and social transformation provides the context for adaptation. This perspective offers a useful analytical basis for examining family-law reform across diverse Muslim societies, particularly where religious commitments, state regulation, and changing family practices intersect. Future studies may further examine how this negotiated framework operates in specific national contexts through comparative empirical research.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Generative AI Statement

The author declares that no generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the preparation, writing, or editing of this manuscript.

REFERENCE

Bidayati, K., Al Maliki, M. A., & Ramadhan, S. (2021). Dinamika pembaharuan hukum keluarga Islam di negara Muslim: Studi atas negara Malaysia dan Brunei Darussalam. *ADHKI: Journal of Islamic Family Law*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.37876/adhki.v3i1.45>

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Darmawan, D., & Al Mu'allif, M. B. (2025). Manajemen Sarana dan Prasarana Berbasis Kemandirian Studi Kasus di Pondok Pesantren Al Washoya. *AL-MANAR: Jurnal Komunikasi Dan Pendidikan Islam*, 14(2), 511–527. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.36668/jal.v14i2.1615>
- Haneef, S. S. S. (2011). Women and Malaysian Islamic family law: Towards a women-affirming jurisprudential reform. *Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law*, 33(1), 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09649069.2011.571470>
- Hariani, P. E., & Jabbar, M. R. A. A. (2026). Research approaches and methods in educational management studies: A literature review and future research directions. *Journal of Policy Review and Innovation in Educational Management*, 1(1), 71–83. <https://doi.org/10.56404/priem.v1i1.454>
- Jones, J. (2020). Towards a Muslim Family Law Act? Debating Muslim women's rights and the codification of personal laws in India. *Contemporary South Asia*, 28(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2019.1684444>
- Khalfaoui, M. (2020). Current Muslim understandings of classical family law in a modern secular context: Germany as a case study. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 40(1), 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602004.2020.1741163>
- Ma'u, D. H., Wagiyem, Salma, & Taqwa, R. H. (2025). Interfaith marriage involving Muslims in Indonesia: Legal pluralism and maqāṣid al-sharī'ah perspectives. *Al-Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.22515/alahkam.v10i2.11069>
- Miichi, K. (2025). Feminist strategies in a Muslim democracy: Case study of the 2019 marriage law revision in Indonesia. *Contemporary Islam*, 19, 559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11562-025-00599-8>
- Mir-Hosseini, Z. (2009). Towards gender equality: Muslim family laws and the Shari'ah. In Z. Anwar (Ed.), *Wanted: Equality and justice in the Muslim family* (pp. 23–63). Musawah.

- Sezgin, Y. (2023a). A global and historical exploration: Legislative reform in Muslim family laws in Muslim-majority versus Muslim-minority countries. *Law & Policy*, 45(2), 110–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lapo.12210>
- Sezgin, Y. (2023b). Muslim family law reform: Understanding the difference between Muslim-majority and Muslim-minority jurisdictions. *Journal of Law, Religion and State*, 10(2–3), 218–251. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22124810-20230004>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Tohari, I., & Kholish, M. A. (2020). Maqasid syariah sebagai pijakan konseptual dalam pembaruan hukum keluarga Islam Indonesia. *Arena Hukum*, 13(2), 315–334. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.arenahukum.2020.01302.7>
- Wirastri, T. D., & van Huis, S. C. (2024). The state of Indonesia's marriage law: 50 years of statutory and judicial reforms. *AHKAM: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah*, 24(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.15408/ajis.v24i2.38424>
- Welchman, L. (2007). *Women and Muslim family laws in Arab states: A comparative overview of textual development and advocacy*. Amsterdam University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5117/9789053569740>
- Uddin, I. (2020). Reformulation of Islamic matrimonial law: British Muslims, contemporary understandings and normative practices. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 40(1), 6–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13602004.2020.1737413>